

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 421 250

PS 026 714

AUTHOR Marcon, Rebecca A.
TITLE Predicting Parent Involvement and Its Influence on School Success: A Follow-Up Study.
PUB DATE 1998-07-00
NOTE 13p.; Poster presented at the National Head Start Research Conference (4th, Washington, DC, July, 1998).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; Elementary Education; Family Characteristics; Followup Studies; *Parent Participation; Parent School Relationship; *Parent Student Relationship; Performance Factors; *Predictor Variables; Preschool Education
IDENTIFIERS Project Head Start

ABSTRACT

Proponents of early childhood education frequently refer to the importance of parent involvement for children's school success. However, little is known about characteristics of families that are more likely to become involved in their children's educational experience. This study provided follow-up data on 221 inner-city children (median age = 144 months) previously found to benefit from increased parent involvement during preschool, kindergarten, and the primary grades. Demographic and school-related predictors of involvement were further examined as children made the transition from elementary to junior high school. Findings indicated that parents whose children had attended Head Start were significantly more involved in their children's education at Year 8 or Year 9 than were parents whose children had attended pre-kindergarten in the same public school system. Current involvement was associated with higher grades, while past involvement had a positive impact on achievement test scores and school competence. (Contains 14 references.) (Author/EV)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

~~X~~ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

ED 421 250

Predicting Parent Involvement and Its Influence on School Success: A Follow-Up Study

Rebecca A. Marcon

University of North Florida

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Rebecca A.
Marcon

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the Fourth National Head Start Research Conference, July, 1998,
held in Washington, D.C. Send requests to author at the Department of Psychology,
University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224 email: rmarcon@unf.edu

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2

Abstract

The present study provided follow-up data on 221 inner-city children (median age = 144 months) previously found to benefit from increased parent involvement during preschool, kindergarten, and the primary grades. Demographic and school-related predictors of involvement were further examined as children made the transition from elementary to junior high school. Parents whose children had attended Head Start were significantly more involved in their children's education at Year 8 or Year 9 than were parents whose children had attended pre-kindergarten in the same public school system. Current involvement was associated with higher grades, while past involvement had a positive impact on achievement test scores and school competence.

Predicting Parent Involvement and Its Influence on School Success: A Follow-Up Study

Proponents of early childhood education frequently refer to the importance of parent involvement for children's school success (e.g., Lazar et al., 1987; Moore, 1987; Slaughter & Epps, 1987; Weikart, 1989). Zill (1996) found higher levels of parent involvement to be associated with better student outcomes on nearly all achievement and behavior measures. Studies of low-income children using teacher-rated parent involvement in school have shown positive effects on academic and social measures at the end of Head Start (Taylor & Machida, 1994), in first grade (Reynolds, 1989), and third grade (Marcon, 1993). The positive impact appears to be especially strong in grades 3 through 5 (Crimm, 1993).

However, little is known about characteristics of families that are more likely to become involved in their children's educational experience. Teacher attitudes and parental characteristics may influence whether parents become partners in the education experience (Epstein & Dauber, 1991). For example, kindergarten teachers who are committed to developmentally appropriate practices are more likely to promote parent involvement (Swick & McKnight, 1989). Marcon (1993) found the most notable predictor of third grade involvement was parent involvement during kindergarten which was, in turn, best predicted by enrollment in Head Start. This latter finding shows Head Start's impact on two generations, and provides further support for Head Start's devotion of considerable effort to parental empowerment.

Follow-up data on parent involvement are useful. Seven years after participating in a Chicago preschool program for low-income families, Reynolds et al. (1996) found mediating effects of parents' school involvement on program benefits for school achievement and retention. In high-risk neighborhoods parent involvement seems especially important during the transition from elementary to middle school (Eccles & Harold, 1993), and plays a vital role

in denoting which children remain at-academic-risk or improve academically across the transition (Vito, 1993). The present study provided follow-up data on inner-city children previously found to benefit from increased parent involvement during preschool, kindergarten, and the primary grades. Demographic and school-related predictors of involvement were further examined as children made the transition from elementary to junior high school.

Method

A total of 221 children from the Classes of 2000 and 2001 (median age = 144 months) enrolled in 91 public schools in Washington, D.C. were studied during their eighth (Grade 6) or ninth (Grade 7) year in school. Before entering kindergarten, 22% of the sample had attended Head Start and 78% had attended free pre-kindergarten in this public school system. The sample was 98% African American and 54% female. Most children (80%) qualified for subsidized lunch based upon low family income, and 77% lived in single-parent homes.

Children's preschool, kindergarten, and third grade teachers were previously interviewed to determine extent of contact they had with each child's parent(s). Year 8 or Year 9 teachers were interviewed in the current study. Categories of contact included parent-teacher conference, home visit by teacher, extended class visit by parent, and parental help with class activity. At each grade, two groups of children were identified based upon low (0 or 1 category fulfilled) or high (3 or 4 categories fulfilled) involvement. All current data were analyzed for effects of earlier and current parent involvement. A covariate (eligibility for subsidized lunch) was used to control for possible economic differences between families. School, child, and family characteristics that might influence parent involvement were entered into stepwise regression analysis. School characteristics included a) type of preschool program (Head Start vs. Pre-K), b) preschool model (child-initiated, academically-directed, middle-of-

the-road), and c) kindergarten model (socioemotional vs. academic preparation) attended.

Child characteristics included sex, age, absences, previous grade retention, and special needs.

Family characteristics included SES, single- vs. two-parent family, mobility, and earlier parent involvement.

Results

Current Parent Involvement

Parents whose children had attended Head Start were significantly more involved in their children's education at Year 8 or Year 9 than were parents whose children had attended Pre-K ($\chi^2(1) = 4.23, p < .05$). This was noteworthy because lower income families were generally less involved in children's current school experiences ($\chi^2(1) = 8.32, p < .01$). This was not true of Head Start families although they were poorer than Pre-K families ($\chi^2(1) = 9.45, p < .01$). No differences in current involvement were found between single- and two-parent families ($p = .53$), and parents of boys were as likely as parents of girls to be currently involved ($p = .23$). While previous levels of involvement were not predictive of current level, parents whose children had attended a child-initiated preschool tended to be more involved in their children's education at Year 8 or Year 9 ($\chi^2(1) = 3.39, p = .06$).

The regression model reported in Table 1 accounts for 7% of the variance in current parent involvement, with income (higher) being the most notable predictor, followed by child's age (younger), participation in Head Start, and successfully passing the primary grades.

Insert Table 1 about here

Although R^2 is small, discriminant analysis using these four variables successfully classified 72.73% of the parents in this study into either low or high categories of parent involvement. Classification using type of preschool program alone (Head Start vs. Pre-K) correctly classified 69.23% of the sample, although that lone variable was more accurate in identifying low involvement.

School Competence

By junior high school, 12% the sample was receiving special education services and 36% had been retained. Children whose parents had been uninvolved in first grade were more likely to be in special education ($\chi^2(1) = 8.64, p < .01$). Although extent of preschool involvement did not significantly affect retention rates before entering junior high, children whose parents had been uninvolved in kindergarten ($\chi^2(1) = 6.32, p < .01$), first ($\chi^2(1) = 7.26, p < .01$), or third grade ($\chi^2(1) = 3.84, p < .01$) were more likely to be retained. Current level of parent involvement was not significantly related to placement in special education ($p = .64$) or retention in grade ($p = .11$). By junior high, children who had attended Head Start did not differ from classmates who had attended Pre-K in special education placement (14.5% vs. 13%, $p = .78$) or retention in grade (34% vs. 31%, $p = .58$).

Student Achievement

The influence of current parent involvement on Year 8 or Year 9 grades is reported in Table 2. High current involvement was associated with a significantly higher overall grade point average (GPA) and significantly higher grades in 8 of 11 subjects (math, language, handwriting, science, art, music, health, and citizenship). No differences in current grades were associated with earlier parent involvement.

Insert Table 2 about here

Examination of sixth grade standardized achievement test scores (Comprehensive Test of Basic Skills-CTBS) indicated no significant impact of current parent involvement on test scores. While parent involvement in preschool, kindergarten, and first grade had no significant effect on sixth grade CTBS scores, third grade parent involvement did (see Table 3). Children whose parents had been highly involved in third grade scored higher in all sixth grade CTBS areas. These differences were significant for reading vocabulary, math concepts and application, and science. A trend toward higher reading comprehension ($p < .07$), total reading ($p < .06$), total battery ($p < .08$), and social science ($p < .11$) scores was noted.

Insert Table 3 about here

Discussion

Head Start continues to have an enduring positive impact on parent involvement even as children enter junior high school. In this study, current involvement was associated with higher grades, while past involvement had a positive impact on achievement test scores and school competence. While lower family income was generally predictive of lower involvement, this was not the case for Head Start families. This Head Start's program emphasis on parental empowerment and a child-initiated model of early childhood education appear to have had an enduring impact on the families served. Seven and eight years after their children have left Head Start, parents in our nation's capital remain involved in their children's educational experiences and the effect is notably positive.

References

- Crimm, J. (1993). Parent involvement and academic achievement: A meta-analysis. Dissertation Abstracts International, 53 (07), 2169A.
- Eccles, J. S., & Harold, R. D. (1993). Parent-school involvement during the early adolescent years. Teachers College Record, 94, 568-587.
- Epstein, J. L., & Dauber, S. L. (1991). School programs and teacher practices of parent involvement in inner-city elementary and middle schools. Elementary School Journal, 91, 289-305.
- Lazar, I., Darlington, R., Murray, H., Royce, J., & Snipper, A. (1982). Lasting effects of early education: A report from the Consortium for Longitudinal Studies. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 47, (2-3, Serial No. 195).
- Marcon, R. (1993). Predictors of parent involvement and its influence on school success [abstract]. Proceedings of the National Head Start Research Conference: Vol 2 (p. 380). Washington, DC: ACYF.
- Moore, E. K. (1987). Child care in the public schools: Public accountability and the Black child. In S. Kagan & E. Zigler (Eds.), Early school: The national debate. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Reynolds, A. J. (1989). A structural model of first-grade outcomes for an urban, low socioeconomic status, minority population. Journal of Educational Psychology, 81, 594-603.
- Reynolds, A. J., Mavrogenes, N. A., Bezruczko, N., & Hagemann, M. (1996). Cognitive and family-support mediators of preschool effectiveness: A confirmatory analysis. Child Development, 67, 1119-1140.

Slaughter, D. T., & Epps, E. G. (1987). The home environment and academic achievement of Black American children and youth: An overview. Journal of Negro Education, 56, 3-20.

Swick, K. J., & McKnight, S. (1989). Characteristics of kindergarten teachers who promote parent involvement. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 4, 19-29.

Taylor, A. R., & Machida, S. (1994). The contribution of parent and peer support to Head Start children's early school adjustment. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 9, 387-405.

Vito, R. C. (1993). Why students become "at-risk". Dissertation Abstracts International, 54 (04), 1298A.

Weikart, D. (1989). Quality preschool programs: A long-term social investment (Occasional Paper No. 5). NY: Ford Foundation.

Zill, N. (1996). Family change and student achievement: What we have learned, what it means for schools. In A. Booth & J. F. Dunn (Eds.), Family-school links: How do they affect educational outcomes? (Pp. 139-174). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Table 1

Predictor Variables with Significant Beta Weights for Categorical Variables Predicting the
Criterion Variable of Current Parent Involvement

Category	r	Beta	R	R ² (cumulative)
Family Income	-.19**	-.18**	.19	.035
Child's Age	-.14**	-.12**	.23	.053
Head Start Enrollment	.09**	.12**	.25	.065
Retention Prior to 3 rd Grade	-.11**	-.09*	.27	.073

* p < .05

** p < .01

Table 2

'Year 8' or 'Year 9' Progress Report Grades (Means Adjusted for SES Covariate)

Subject	Current Parent Involvement		ANCOVA
	Low	High	
Overall GPA	<u>M</u> 2.27 <u>SD</u> (.82)	2.82 (.53)	$F(1, 111) = 10.17, p < .01$
Mathematics	<u>M</u> 1.98 <u>SD</u> (1.15)	2.65 (.92)	$F(1, 109) = 6.95, p < .01$
Reading	<u>M</u> 2.11 <u>SD</u> (1.04)	2.41 (.87)	$F(1, 82) = 1.56, p = .22$
Language	<u>M</u> 2.11 <u>SD</u> (.95)	2.61 (.74)	$F(1, 84) = 5.42, p < .05$
Spelling	<u>M</u> 2.08 <u>SD</u> (1.23)	2.54 (.88)	$F(1, 82) = 2.73, p = .102$
Handwriting	<u>M</u> 2.42 <u>SD</u> (.73)	2.98 (.64)	$F(1, 80) = 10.12, p < .01$
Social Studies	<u>M</u> 2.14 <u>SD</u> (1.17)	2.57 (.87)	$F(1, 105) = 2.67, p = .105$
Science	<u>M</u> 2.21 <u>SD</u> (1.24)	2.85 (.70)	$F(1, 106) = 5.66, p < .05$
Art	<u>M</u> 2.63 <u>SD</u> (.93)	3.17 (.82)	$F(1, 73) = 5.06, p < .05$
Music	<u>M</u> 2.74 <u>SD</u> (1.03)	3.33 (.69)	$F(1, 70) = 4.69, p < .05$
Health/PE	<u>M</u> 2.78 <u>SD</u> (1.08)	3.30 (.80)	$F(1, 89) = 4.31, p < .05$
Citizenship	<u>M</u> 2.13 <u>SD</u> (1.09)	2.84 (.80)	$F(1, 101) = 9.02, p < .01$

Note. Grades were calculated on a 4-point scale with 0 = F and 4 = A. Subject areas assessed by the 'Year 8' or 'Year 9' Progress Report varied according to student course schedule.

Table 3

Sixth Grade CTBS Standardized Achievement Test Scores (Means Adjusted for SES Covariate)

Scale	Parent Involvement in 3 rd Grade		ANCOVA	
	Low	High		
Reading				
Vocabulary	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	47.26 (16.40)	53.62 (18.28)	$F(1, 118) = 4.00, p < .05$
Comprehension	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	47.81 (16.76)	54.19 (21.45)	$F(1, 118) = 3.46, p = .065$
Total Reading	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	47.60 (15.31)	53.63 (19.19)	$F(1, 118) = 3.75, p = .055$
Language				
Spelling	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	55.26 (16.42)	59.96 (17.76)	$F(1, 118) = 2.23, p = .14$
Mechanics	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	51.56 (18.51)	56.57 (16.83)	$F(1, 118) = 2.44, p = .12$
Expression	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	47.14 (13.24)	51.06 (16.41)	$F(1, 118) = 2.20, p = .14$
Total Language	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	51.02 (15.96)	55.55 (17.00)	$F(1, 118) = 2.37, p = .126$
Mathematics				
Computation	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	60.79 (21.40)	63.30 (22.79)	$F(1, 118) = .38, p = .54$
Concepts and Application	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	49.77 (17.44)	56.26 (17.42)	$F(1, 118) = 4.18, p < .05$
Total Math	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	56.14 (19.55)	61.58 (19.23)	$F(1, 118) = 2.36, p = .127$
Total Battery	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	50.88 (15.70)	56.18 (17.32)	$F(1, 118) = 3.22, p = .076$
Reference Skills	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	52.11 (16.90)	56.88 (16.61)	$F(1, 117) = 2.37, p = .13$
Science	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	44.49 (15.62)	52.02 (21.21)	$F(1, 114) = 4.78, p < .05$
Social Studies	<u>M</u> <u>SD</u>	48.44 (14.44)	53.02 (15.82)	$F(1, 114) = 2.61, p = .109$

Note. Scale standard score mean = 50.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Predicting Parent Involvement and Its Influence on School Success: A Follow-Up Study</i>	
Author(s): <i>MARCON, Rebecca A.</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: <i>JULY 1998</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here

For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS
MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER
COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here

For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign
here
→
please

Signature: <i>Rebecca A. Marcon</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Rebecca A. Marcon Associate Professor</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Department of Psychology University of North Florida Jacksonville, FL 32224</i>	Telephone: <i>(904) 620-2807</i>	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: <i>rmarcon@unf.edu</i>	Date: <i>7/6/98</i>

MICRO

from Head Start National Research Conference

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**KAREN E. SMITH
ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR
ERIC/EECE
CHILDREN'S RESEARCH CENTER
51 GERTY DRIVE
CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61820-7469**

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598**

**Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263**

**e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>**

(Rev. 6/96)